

Guide to Submitting Administrative Assessment Reports

Prepared by:

Office of Institutional Effectiveness (OIE)

(Revised 6/27/2023)

Contents

Introduction	.3
Purpose of Administrative Assessment	3
The Assessment Cycle	3
Submission Policies and Report Format	4
Submitting a Report via Etrieve	5
Additional References for Administrative Assessment Reporting	6
Review of the Administrative Assessment Report	6
Appendix A	7

Introduction

This guide includes information on administrative assessment and reporting as well as instructions and templates for creating administrative assessment reports.

Purpose of Administrative Assessment

Institutions of higher education are faced with the internal and external pressures to demonstrate quality and effectiveness in their functioning and resources. As a result, there is increasing involvement in conducting assessments both among academic and administrative units within an organization. Conducting continuous quality improvement efforts in administrative units has become a fundamental driving force in recent assessment and evaluation efforts in higher education and among accreditors.

Assessment can be defined as the systematic and ongoing method of gathering, analyzing and using information from various sources about a unit. Assessment involves using measured outcomes, in order to improve student support services, student learning, and other administrative processes. Assessment, as it is addressed in this manual, relates to measuring critical administrative processes in order to gather data that provides information about how the institution is working towards meeting its stakeholders' needs and expectations.


A benefit of measuring performance among administrative units is that it provides a basis for the unit/organization to gain a sense of what is working well or going wrong within the unit/organization. This process ultimately establishes a direction for improving quality and effectiveness of the unit's functions, as well as constituent satisfaction. It documents quality initiatives taken by a unit to improve processes and/or efficiencies in functioning that lead to outcomes that benefits students, faculty/staff or the college as a whole. These could be process changes or improvements in efficiency, skill level of faculty/staff, opportunities for the college, and other aspects over which the unit has a certain amount of control.

The Assessment Cycle

An assessment cycle involves four phases (Suskie, 2018). All four phases may be completed within an academic year or, depending on the administrative process under observation, the cycle may take longer:

- 1. **Planning/determining procedures**: Planning involves setting clear, concrete outcomes and making sure that there are enough means and resources to reach the outcomes. This phase also involves determining the assessment methodology.
- **2.** Conducting/analyzing: Conducting/analyzing involves collecting and analyzing relevant data to measure progress towards outcomes.
- **3. Discussing/using results**: The discussing/using phase involves interpreting results and using findings to improve services or processes.
- 4. Determining impact: After changes are made, follow-up assessment is necessary to determine if changes improved services or processes.

A figurative representation of an assessment cycle for an administrative unit is presented below. This representation below also includes the Mission of the office.



Submission Policies and Report Format

To document these assessment activities, each administrative unit will submit one or more annual administrative assessment report(s). For administrative units with multiple subunits, the administrative unit head will determine which subunits will complete reports for the year.

Each unit should submit at least three quality initiatives each year. Each initiative is associated with five main report sections. These sections will be described in depth later in this document. However, the sections are listed below, along with the deadline for submission.

July 31:

- 1. Title of the quality initiative
- 2. Identification of the outcome
- 3. Assessment procedure and measure
- 4. Criteria
- 5. Results, overall status of the initiative, follow-up action, and link to Middle States standards

Administrators completing reports will meet with their respective administrative unit heads to discuss the assessment reports that are submitted. Final assessment reports are posted on the college assessment website (maintained by OIE).

Submitting a Report via Etrieve

To submit an administrative assessment report via Etrieve, use the following <u>link</u>. Brief descriptions of the key fields in the report are included below. Appendix A contains a walk-through of how to complete the Etrieve form. Also, administrative assessment report templates and other resources can be found on the OIE <u>website</u>.

Table 1

ProcessMaker	Description
Field	
Mission	The mission statement is an overarching statement that might include the unit's vision, values, and essential functions. The mission may also contain information about how the unit benefits stakeholders.
Outcome	The Outcome field includes a <u>clear description of the initiative</u> , or activity to improve services or processes, that your unit is undertaking. This description should include sufficient <u>background information</u> , so that readers outside of your unit can fully understand what you are doing. The initiative might involve improving an existing process or developing a new process, and the initiative may span multiple years. For examples of initiatives, see <u>Administrative Assessment Worksheet</u> . Additionally, the initiative should be linked to a <u>concrete</u> , <u>measurable</u>
	Additionally, the initiative should be linked to a <u>concrete</u> , <u>ineastrable</u> <u>outcome</u> . The outcome is essentially a statement about the purpose of the initiative, and it should be <u>linked to the unit's mission</u> . Some examples of outcomes may be to reduce time spent on a task, to increase stakeholder satisfaction, to increase funding, to increase student success or persistence, etc. For additional examples of outcomes, see <u>Sample Administrative</u> <u>Outcomes From Other Institutions</u> .
Assessment	The Assessment field contains information about an <u>appropriate procedure</u> <u>for assessing, or measuring, your outcome.</u> Oftentimes, this procedure results in a numerical indicator. For example, you may develop a means to document the average number of hours spent on a task per week, the percentage of event attendees who reported that they were satisfied or extremely satisfied, the amount of dollars raised, or a student retention rate. For additional examples of assessments, see the "Assessment Methodology" column in <u>Example Administrative Reports</u> .
Criteria	The Criteria field includes information about your <u>standard for</u> <u>achievement</u> . Criteria are <u>often numerical</u> , and serve as <u>comparisons</u> for your measurements. For example, you may want to improve your numbers since last year (e.g., "Our initiative will reduce the average weekly time spent on the task by 15%, compared to last year") or you may want to improve your numbers relative to an internal standard (e.g., Our initiative will result in 80% of event attendees reporting that they are satisfied or extremely satisfied). You may also reference external standards (e.g., the average retention rate of regional institutions). In any case, be sure to

Descriptions of ProcessMaker Fields

	specify exactly what your standards are. For additional examples of		
	criteria, see the "Target" column in this document Example		
	Administrative Reports.		
Results	For the Results field, provide your most important data and summarize the		
	most important themes in the data. You may upload reports, spreadsheets,		
	and other documentation; however, the key data and the take-home		
	message should be documented in the text box. Your results should be		
	clearly relevant to your outcome. Also, discuss the following. Has your		
	criterion been met? What conclusions can be drawn from the data? For		
	examples of results, see Example Administrative Reports.		
Follow-Up	The Follow-Up Actions box should include a discussion of areas for		
Actions	improvement or a discussion of your accomplishments. Would you like to		
	improve your results? Have your results led you to consider other		
	initiatives or assessments? For examples of follow-up actions, see Example		
	Administrative Reports.		

Additional References for Administrative Assessment Reporting

On the Assessment website, under the Assessment Resources & Report Submission tab, you can find:

- <u>Administrative Assessment Worksheet</u>: A worksheet for identifying initiatives and outcomes and developing measures (adapted from the University of Central Florida).
- <u>Sample Administrative Outcomes From Other Institutions</u>: A list of example outcomes, broken down by administrative units.
- <u>Example Administrative Reports</u>: Examples of assessment reports (adapted from Virginia Tech, which uses an assessment method similar to Siena's method.)

Another comprehensive handbook on Administrative Assessment is from the University of Central Florida.

Review of the Administrative Assessment Report

Personnel in the Office of Institutional Effectiveness are available to consult with administrators on all aspects of the assessment process. They review assessment reports and have a discussion (as needed) with the stakeholders on the reports submitted.

Appendix A

	etrieve	CENTRAL
Flow		
23	Inbox	After logging in, click on "Forms" to find the
Ċ	Activity	Administrative Assessment
Forn	าร	Report Template.
S		>
	Drafts	>
	Service My Docume	nts >
æ	My Docume	nts 7
		_<

etrieve CENTRAL			Find the Administrative Outcomes
low	-	Forms X	Assessment Report under Institutional Effectiveness. Click it
🗳 Inbox	>	Institutional Effectiveness 💌	to open the form.
Activity	>	Administrative Outcomes Assessm	
Forms		Student Learning Assessment Rep	
🖋 Forms	>	Institutional Review Board (IRB) 🔻	
Drafts	>	Human Subjects Research Applicat	
Self-Service		Office of Accessibility 💌	
My Documents	>	Accessibility Test Accommodation	
<		Print Shop 🔻	
		Print Shop Job Request	
		Public Safety 🔻	
		FSA Visitor Vehicle Parking Permit	
		Student Guest Registration	
		Registrar's Office 🔻	
		FERPA Release Form	
		Grade Change Request Form	

etrieve CENT	RAL		≡
Flow		Start to fill in the assessment form.	
🔮 Inbox	>	Institutional Effectiveness 💌	SIENA
C Activity	>	Administrative Outcomes Assessm	
Forms		Student Learning Assessment Rep	Administrative Assessment Report
Forms	>	Institutional Review Board (IRB) 🔻	Report Year
Drafts	>	Human Subjects Research Applicat	Divisions
Self-Service		Office of Accessibility 🔻	Administrative Unit
My Documents	>	Accessibility Test Accommodation	Division Coordinator
		Print Shop 💌	
		Print Shop Job Request	Submitted By
		Public Safety 💌	Mission
		FSA Visitor Vehicle Parking Permit	
		Student Guest Registration	Initiative
		Registrar's Office 🔻	1) Title of the Quality Initiative
		FERPA Release Form	2) Identify the Outcome: Briefly describe the quality improvement initiative you worked on this past year
		Grade Change Request Form	and why? Please identify the outcome(s) for this initiative. ✓ 0 ↓ ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ←

Be sure that this field contains the appropriate academic year of the assessment.

Administrative Assessment Report

Report Year

Lisa Yu

Mission

2022-2023	Select you division and then unit from the dropdown menu.	~
Divisions		
Academic Affai	rs	
Administrative	Unit	
Institutional Eff	ectiveness	
Division Coord	linator	
Mohua Bose		
Submitted By	Enter your unit's mission statement. See Table 1 abov	e

for guidance on composing a

mission statement.

Initiative

quantitative). The

method should be appropriate for desired outcome. See Table 1 above. Select your sub-unit from the dropdown menu if there is one.

Please indicate the sub-unit you are reporting on

	Assessment	The description of the quality improvement	
	Sub Unit Division Coordinator	initiative should be clear and include the key	
The Assessment		services or processes to improve. The outcomes of	
section should	fyu@siena.edu	this initiative and how it is linked or is important to	
include a		the unit's or sub-unit's mission should also be	
description of the	1) Title of the Quality Initiative	clearly described. See Table 1 above for guidance	
procedure used to		on composing the Outcome section.	
collect data			
	2) Identify the Outcome: Briefly describe the quality improvement initiative you worked on this past year and		

why? Please identify the outcome(s) for this initiative.

3) Assessment: What measure did you use to assess this initiative? How did you specifically measure the

aspect of service you were interested in improving?

The criterion for success might include a target number (i.e., unit of measurement, percentage etc.) to achieve. The criterion is a standard for comparison. See Table 1 above.

4) Criteria: What is the criteria for success?

Results should indicate whether or not the criterion has been met. Valid conclusions should be drawn from the available data. See Table 1 above for guidance on composing the Results.

v

5) Results: What were the results* (if applicable)? How did you analyze the results?

* Must attach document to show collection of data when submitting the report in order to document data informed

continuous quality initiatives across the College

Select a description of the status of the initiative from the dropdown menu.

Overall status of the initiative

If this quality initiative is related to one of the MSCHE standards, please place a check box against that standard; or check the box for the option "Internal quality initiative not related to Middle States standards."

Internal or Middle States Standards:

If this quality initiative is related to one of the MSCHE standards, please place a check box against that standard; or check the box for the option "Internal quality initiative not related to Middle States standards." (click on link to MSCHE standards)

- Internal quality initiative not related to Middle States standards
- Standard I: Mission and Goals
- Standard II: Ethics and Integrity
- Standard III: Design and Delivery of the Student Learning Experience
- Standard IV: Support of the Student Living Experience
- Standard V: Educational Effectiveness Assessment
- Standard VI: Planning, Resources, and Institutional Improvement
- Standard VII: Governance, Leadership, and Administration

Add Outcome

Remove Outcome

Click "Add Outcome" to add more quality initiatives of your unit or sub-unit.



Attachments can be renamed after being uploaded into this platform.